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Abstract
The atomization of oil in water emulsions is used in the food industry in the preparation of several products. Its passage through the atomization devices could have an influence on the stability of the emulsion and, consequently on the final product. In the present work, it was evaluated the influence of two fluid nozzles of different dimensions and geometry on stability parameters of oil in water emulsion produced by microfluidization and by using gum Arabic as wall material. Results indicated that the droplet size, the polydispersity index and the Turbiscan stability index of atomized emulsions were affected by an initial destabilization which caused their increment with time. It was found that, atomized emulsions presented Oswald ripening rates more pronounced than original emulsion which possibly included diffusion-interfacial tension compensation. The confocal laser scanning microscopy images confirmed that the passage of the emulsion through the atomization devices decreased the thickness of wall material of the droplets with respect to the original emulsion which strongly influenced the destabilization of emulsions due to decreased coverage and polar-non-polar disruption. It was concluded that, in the design of products prepared by atomization, the stability of the atomized emulsions must be considered by including a proper selection of the atomizer and possibly, a suitable liquid sampling-characterization on-line scheme.
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Resumen
La atomización de emulsiones aceite en agua se usa en la industria alimentaria en la preparación de diversos productos. Su paso a través de dispositivos de atomización podría influir en su estabilidad y, por consiguiente, en el producto final. En este trabajo, se evaluó la influencia de dos boquillas de dos fluidos de diferentes dimensiones y geometrías sobre los parámetros de estabilidad de emulsiones aceite en agua elaboradas por microfluidización, utilizando goma Arábiga como material de pared. Los resultados mostraron que el tamaño de gota, el índice de polidispersidad y el índice de estabilidad del Turbiscan de las emulsiones atomizadas se vieron afectados por una desestabilización inicial que dio lugar a que, los mismos, se incrementaran con el tiempo. Se encontró que las emulsiones atomizadas mostraron una maduración de Ostwald que incluyó, posiblemente, compensación de mecanismos de difusión-tensión interfacial más pronunciada que la original. Las imágenes obtenidas con el microscopio confocal de barrido láser confirmaron que el paso de la emulsión a través de los dispositivos de atomización disminuyó el espesor del material de pared de las gotas con respecto a la emulsión original, lo que influyó en la desestabilización de las emulsiones debido a una menor cobertura y consecuente perturbación del balance entre grupos polares y no polares. Se concluyó que en el diseño de los productos elaborados por aspersión de emulsiones deben considerarse la estabilidad del líquido atomizado, una selección apropiada del atomizador y, posiblemente, un sistema de muestreo y caracterización de los fluidos en línea.
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1 Introduction

Emulsions consist of two or more immiscible liquids, forming two phases, one being dispersed into the other in the form of droplets (McClements and Jafari, 2018) and are widely used ingredients in the food industry, either as an intermediate product within a manufacturing scheme or as a final product (McClements 2007; Owaga et al., 2003; Tadros 2013). To stabilize oil droplets in an aqueous medium, wall materials and surfactants are used. Gum Arabic (GA) has been widely applied as wall material (Taherian et al., 2008) due to its surface activity in the formulation of oil in water emulsions. The adsorption of the biopolymers at the oil-water interface gives rise to interfacial membranes, which reduce the contact area between oil molecules and water.

The protein part (~ 2%), of the gun Arabic adsorbs on the oil-water interface (Wang, et al., 2011) and stabilize the oil in water emulsions, by forming a membrane (wall material) around the droplets of the emulsion. At the same time, this interfacial membrane can serve as a barrier material for microencapsulated systems.

In general, the stabilizing and barrier properties of biopolymers in foods are determined by their molecular weight, conformation, flexibility, polarity and interactions (McClements, 1999). Gum Arabic presents carboxyl groups composed by: L-rhamnose (~ 13%), D-galactose (~ 40-44%), L-arabinose (~ 24-27%) that can exhibit a large dissociation, resulting in Coulomb repulsions of negatively charged carboxyl groups, which can cause the molecule to adopt an expanded and highly charged structure (Whistler, 2012).

The process of transforming the mixture of two immiscible liquids into an emulsion or, of reducing the droplet size of a pre-existing emulsion is known as homogenization (McClements, 2015; Hakansson and Rayner, 2018). During microfluidization (MF) (high shear homogenization), the reduction in droplet size is carried out in the interaction chamber(s) due to forces of deformation and high-speed collisions of the mixture of immiscible fluids with the metal walls of the chamber and between the liquid streams themselves (Jafari et al., 2007; Villalobos-Castillejos et al., 2018), thus producing smaller sizes of droplet and a more homogeneous distribution of the dispersed phase (Jafari et al., 2007b).

Oil in water emulsions are often subjected to atomization as in the formation of edible films, preparation of capsules by spray drying, preparation of films, manufacturing of coatings, addition of a number of food additives to different food matrices among others (Fuentes-Ortega et al., 2017; Kanellopoulos et al., 2017; Hafner et al., 2016) and, the properties of the emulsions are usually related to those of the obtained final product without considering that, during their passage through the atomization device, the emulsions may undergo changes due to the high shear stress applied and which may have an influence on the properties of the emulsion that is fed to the atomization device.

The atomization of emulsions is a commonly used stage in various processes and industrial areas such as food, pharmaceutical, automotive, metal, etc. and is defined as the disintegration of a liquid in the form of drops (Wang et al., 2014; Aliseda et al., 2008; Hede et al., 2008). However, the passage of the emulsion through the atomizing device and atomization might have an influence on the properties of the emulsion and the characteristic of the final product, such as stability, droplet size, structure, shape and distribution of the compounds could be affected. An adequate liquid dispersion into droplets depends on the type of atomizing device and on the stability features of the emulsion (Foerster et al., 2016).

Munoz-Ibanez et al. (2015) determined the changes in emulsion droplet size and polydispersity due to nozzle and disc atomization as well as the influence of the viscosity of the dispersed phase on the effects that the atomizing device had in oil in water emulsions and mentioned that the initial droplet size, atomization energy applied and the viscosity ratio between dispersed and continuous phases were identified as the key factors that determined the emulsion characteristics after atomization.

The study of stability related parameters of the final, atomized emulsion, could provide useful data for assessing on the selection of the type of atomizer, as well as on the structure-function properties of the end product in relation to the fed emulsion that gave place to such product. The objective of this work was to determine the influence of two nozzles with external mixing on stability related parameters of oil in water emulsion prepared by microfluidization.
2 Materials and methods

2.1 Core and wall materials

All emulsions were prepared by using deionized water, gum Arabic (GA) (Gum Arabic E-414 Quich gum NOREVO) as wall material, and commercial corn oil (CO) (MAZOLA, ACH Foods Mexico, SA de CV, Ciudad de Mexico) as the core substance.

2.2 Preparation of pre-emulsions

The gum Arabic was dissolved in type I water (at 25% of solids \( \% (\text{w/w}) \)), then the corn oil (CO) was added. Pre-emulsions were then formed by pre-homogenization with a mixer (Oster Model 2612) for 2 minutes (González et al., 2007; Villalobos et al., 2017). Two ratios GA:CO [5:1 and 1:1] were used. The ratio 5:1 was used based on the low interfacial activity of GA so that must be used at much higher concentrations than other types of emulsifier commonly used to stabilize emulsions as reported by Huang et al. (2001). Also, there are works that reported high wall to core material ratios such as those by Beristain et al. (2001) who used ratios of mesquite gum:Cardamon oil of 5:1,4:1, 3:1, Zhao et al. (2017) who prepared emulsions of soybean oil with kudzu starch by using 5-30% (w/w) of soybean oil. All pre-emulsions were prepared at room temperature of \( 20 \pm 1 \degree \text{C} \).

2.3 Preparation of emulsions

The pre-emulsions were homogenized by using a LM10 microfluidizer (Microfluidics, England), as indicated by the D-optimal response surface design obtained with the Design Expert 11.0.5.0 software (Stat-Ease Inc., MN, USA). The categorical variable was the pressure used in the MF (69, 103 and 139 MPa) and the numerical variables were the ratios GA:CO. These pressures were selected based in works by Ricaurte et al. (2018) and Qiu, et al. (2015). All emulsions were processed by applying 2 microfluidization cycles (Granillo-Guerrero et al., 2017). To verify if experimental runs gave place to emulsions, Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy images (Fig. 1) of the emulsions with the lowest stability (run 1), and three emulsions with an intermediate stability (runs 11, 12 and 24), were taken.

![CLSM images of emulsions and their macroscopic appearance corresponding to: a) run 1, b) run 11; c) run12 and d) run 24 (The dimensions of the tubes were, 27.5 x 70 mm).](www.rmiq.org) FIG. 1. CLSM images of emulsions and their macroscopic appearance corresponding to: a) run 1, b) run 11; c) run12 and d) run 24 (The dimensions of the tubes were, 27.5 x 70 mm).

Also, the emulsion 29 with the optimum stability is shown in Section 3.5, Fig. 8 and which was obtained by considering the minimum average droplet size (DS), polydispersity index (PDI) and Turbiscan® stability index (TSI) as response variables for a total of the 29 experimental runs (Table 1) in which the best emulsifying conditions which gave place to the optimum emulsion, according to the model were those for run 29 and are highlighted in a blue box in this Table. The models for DS, PDI and TSI are presented in Table 2 and were used to express the response variables as a function of the independent factor and its significant terms were found through analysis of variance (ANOVA).

The numerical optimization tool of the Design Expert software was used for the optimization of the response following the criterion of desirability (Ricaurte et al., 2018; Jeong and Kim, 2009). The response variables were fitted to models with \( R^2 \) values of 0.95, 0.98 and 0.96 for DS, PDI and TSI, respectively with a \( p \leq 0.05 \) and a non-significant lack-of-fit at \( p > 0.05 \). These results showed that the models were appropriate to predict the DS, PDI and TSI values for the emulsion used in this work (Table 3). A comparison of predicted and experimental DS, PDI and TSI values for the optimum emulsion, are presented in Table 4. The optimum emulsion was used to run all experiments aiming to investigate the characteristics of the emulsion before and after being atomized by the two nozzles described in the next section.
2.4 Atomization of emulsions

A diagram of the experimental set up is shown in Fig. 2. Two different types of stainless steel two-fluid nozzles with external mixing were used to atomize the emulsion. The first one (NZ-1) was a model 48838 GEA-Niro (Denmark) and the second one (NZ-2) was a model JJ 1/8 SS Spraying Systems (EUA). NZ-1 had a 0.57 m long inner tube (0.006 m inside diameter) through which the fluid moved in the direction of a conical diameter reduction (60 degrees) for finally reaching the discharge orifice or slit (0.001 m inside diameter) in which fluid and air became into contact and produced the atomized mist (Fig. 3). The emulsion was fed at a rate of 1.14 L/h and the pressure of the atomizing air was, as for the NZ-1 nozzle, 1 kg/cm².

The second nozzle (NZ-2) had a short cylindrical (0.006 m height)-conical (50 degrees) conduct (0.003 m inside diameter) for the liquid which reaches the discharge orifice (0.0041 m inside diameter) in which air and fluid contact each other to atomize the liquid. The emulsion was fed (as for NZ-1) at a rate of 1.14 L/h and the pressure of the atomizing air was, as for the NZ-1 nozzle, 1 kg/cm². Geometry of NZ-2 nozzle forces liquid through a sudden change (90°) in its flow direction the air so increasing turbulence and wall-effects (Fig. 4).

In order to feed the emulsion to the nozzles, a peristaltic pump (Watson Marlow) having a range of rotational speeds of 1 to 200 rpm was used and to which a 0.5 m long tubing of silicone Cole-Parmer Instruments was fitted to transport the fed to the nozzles. The conduct had a wall of 0.159 cm and internal diameter of 0.478 cm. The atomized emulsion was collected at a distance of 30 cm from the nozzle (Munoz-Ibanez et al., 2015) in an acrylic vessel which was properly washed and dried between each experiment. Preliminary experiments showed that there were no effects of the pump and the plastic tubing on the DS and DS distribution which coincided with results by Munoz-Ibanez et al. (2015), when working with a nozzle and a disc atomizer.
2.5 **Droplet size (DS) and polydispersity index (PDI)**

Average DS and PDI of prepared emulsions were evaluated by using a Zetasizer NanoZs (Malvern Instrument, England). All measurements were done by preparing a 1:1000 dilution (v/v) as reported by Garti et al. (1993), Huang et al. (2001) and Djordjevic et al. (2008) and a 1 mL sample of the diluted emulsion was placed into the measurement cell of the equipment (Mirhosseunni et al., 2008; Bernewitz et al., 2011). All measurements were carried out by triplicate.

2.6 **Determination of the stability of emulsion by the Turbiscan Stability Index (TSI)**

The stability of the emulsions was analyzed by using a Turbiscan® Lab. (Formulation Smart Scientific Analysis, France). Once the emulsions were prepared and atomized, a 20 mL sample was poured into a cylindrical glass cell and then, placed in the equipment for a 24h monitoring of the stability of the emulsion.

The TSI was taken as an indicator of the stability of the emulsions. This parameter embraces all phenomena that take place in the sample leading to destabilization (Qi et al., 2017) and considers variations detected in the samples in terms of size and/or concentration with time so that it allows to infer on the stability of the sample and to compare among different systems. The lower the TSI, the higher the emulsion stability so that values close to zero indicate greater stability in the emulsion (Kang et al., 2011). The TSI values were obtained by the software of the equipment by means of Eq. (1)

$$TSI = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - XBS)^2}{n-1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

in which: $X_i$ is the average backscatter for each minute of the measurement, $XBS$ is the mean of $X_i$ and $n$ is the number of scans.

2.7 **Ostwald ripening**

The fundamental theory of Ostwald ripening was established by Lifshitz and Slyozov and by Wagner (LSW theory) and is characterized by a constant volume rate (Wagner, 1961; Lifshitz and Slyozov, 1961), when the ripening is controlled by diffusion of the dispersed droplets across the continuous phase, and the cube of the radius of the average size droplet, increases linearly with time. To investigate the mechanism of destabilization of the original and atomized emulsions, the tendency to thermodynamic stability was associated to the change in the average droplet size as a function of time, following the methodology reported by Nour et al. (2013).

To determine if Ostwald ripening was contributing to the increment in size of emulsion droplets during time, samples of the emulsion were centrifuged at 500 x g at 20°C for 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min (Ricauter et al., 2018) and then, the average droplet size for each sample was determined as reported in Section 2.5. This method accelerates the ripening by centrifugation and describes the growing of particles that would happen at long destabilization times (Desplanques et al., 2012). The Oswald ripening parameter ($\omega$) is described by Eq. (2):

$$\omega = \frac{d(r)^3}{d(t)} = \frac{8}{9} \frac{Dc_{\infty} \gamma M}{\rho^{2}RT}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

Eq. 2, results from the application of the LSW theory to a single droplet into an infinite media in which the volume of the droplets increases with time due to the growth of large particles at the expense of the smaller ones (Gruner et al., 2016) and in which: $r$ is the average radius of droplet, $t$ is the storage time, $M$ is the molar mass of dispersed phase (in our case of gum Arabic solution surrounding oil), $\rho$ is the density of the continuous phase (solution of gum Arabic), $c_{\infty}$ is the bulk solubility of the dispersed phase (in our case, of gum Arabic solutions), $D$ is the diffusion coefficient of the droplets in the aqueous phase (in our case of droplets of gum Arabic surrounding oil into water), $\gamma$ is the interfacial tension dispersed-dispersant (in our case, gum Arabic surrounding oil-gum Arabic solution). Interfacial tension increases and diffusion decreases with the radius of the particle and as ripening proceeds gradually larger particles (lower diffusion coefficient and larger interfacial tensions) will move towards the growing larger droplets which may possible cause the slope ($\omega$) of the plot ($r^3$ vs $t$) to maintain a fairly constant value during ripening and its value represents the extent of the Ostwald ripening (Taylor et al., 1998). When the hydrophobic and hydrophilic groups of gum Arabic are oriented according to the affinity for the oil and aqueous phases, emulsion droplets change their diameter and their curvature angle.

Hydrophilic groups, on the other hand, are subjected to change their extent of attraction towards the dispersant thus of orientation of their polar groups towards such phase.
Table 1. D-optimal response surface experimental design for obtaining the optimum (working) emulsion (highlighted in blue box). Adjusted variables were: droplet size (DS), polydispersity index (PDI) and Turbiscan Stability index (TSI).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Concentration of GA (%(v/v))</th>
<th>Concentration of GA (%(v/v))</th>
<th>Pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>Droplet size (nm)</th>
<th>PDI at 24 h</th>
<th>TSI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>74.17</td>
<td>25.83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2232.15</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>8.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>88.11</td>
<td>11.89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2187.00</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>7.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.33</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1847.50</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>92.33</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1850.67</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>7.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>92.51</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1833.00</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2344.66</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>7.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1684.46</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>6.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>94.52</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1658.66</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>74.17</td>
<td>25.83</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>784.10</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>86.33</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>750.30</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>88.02</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>742.53</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>92.44</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>616.16</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>93.32</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>528.27</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>93.32</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>524.95</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>94.03</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>682.13</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>94.52</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>697.80</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>74.17</td>
<td>25.83</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>816.00</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>74.17</td>
<td>25.83</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>917.46</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>91.18</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>522.35</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>91.60</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>599.60</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>91.60</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>568.70</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>92.63</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>549.77</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>94.52</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>579.65</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>74.17</td>
<td>25.83</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>906.90</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>88.18</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>822.90</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>91.60</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>625.15</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>91.60</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>624.13</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>93.96</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>619.05</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>94.52</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>585.99</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given this situation, the fitting of $r^3$ vs $t$ would produce a slope equivalent to a coefficient which considers all the above phenomena and which magnitude has been named as the Ostwald ripening parameter (Taylor, 1998; Santos et al., 2017).

2.8 Confocal Scanning Laser Microscopy of emulsions

Confocal Scanning Laser Microscopy (CSLM) was performed by using a LSM 710 NLO microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany). The lipid phase of the emulsions were dyed using a 0.1% solution of Nile red (Sigma Co., USA). The wall material (GA) was dyed with a 0.05% solution of FITC (Fluorescein Isothiocyanate, Sigma Co., USA) which was added to the sample and allowed to stand for 5 minutes. Then, 0.5 µL of the prepared sample were mounted on glass slides and observed under the CLSM at a laser wavelength of 488 nm (Nile red) and 514 nm (FITC) with. The images were taken with a 63X/1.40 plan-apochromat oil DIC M27 objective at a resolution of 512 × 512 pixels (size of pixel was 0.19µm²). Thickness of the wall material of the droplets was evaluated by using the ImageJ software (National Institutes for Health, Bethesda, USA).
2.9 Statistical analysis

As mentioned above (Section 2.2.2), the optimum emulsion was obtained by using the D-optimal response surface design methodology in the Design Expert Version 11.0.5.0 software (Stat-Ease Inc., MN, USA). To evaluate the differences between the properties of the emulsions before and after being atomized, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied by using the statistical software MyStat 12 (Statistical Software Package, SisStat, USA). The significance level was considered at $p \leq 0.05$. The mean ± standard deviations were reported in every case.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Formulation of the optimum emulsion

The emulsions were evaluated for minimum DS, PDI and TSI as mentioned in Section 2.3 and optimum formulation (run 29 of the experimental design in Table 1) was composed of an oil phase (4.76% w/w); gum Arabic solution (25% w/w) and was produced when microfluidizer pressure was 139 MPa and by using 2 microfluidization cycles.

3.2 Influence of the atomization devices on DS and PDI

Preparation of pre-emulsions induce non-polar groups to form large droplets thus reducing the contact surface with water molecules so generating an important contribution to the free energy of the system (Tu et al., 2013). These droplets were further divided into smaller drops in the microfluidizer.

Results in Table 1 showed that the pre-emulsion feed to the microfluidizer had an average DS = 1658.7 nm and a PDI = 0.82 which were further reduced to 591.0 nm and 0.13 respectively in the microfluidizer.
Upon atomization a different phenomenon was observed (see below) since the wall material layers around oil droplets were reduced in thickness so exposing polar groups towards the outside which destabilizes the system. The emulsion that was atomized by means of NZ-1 did not show significant difference in droplet size ($p > 0.05$) with respect to the original emulsion at time zero (just after preparation), whereas the emulsion that was atomized by using the NZ-2 presented a significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increment (10.60%) in droplet size with respect to the original emulsion (Table 5). Droplet size is one of the most important factors affecting the dynamic stability of emulsions and its disruption is, strongly dependent on the energy input during handling/processing (Floury et al., 2002 and 2004; Kolb et al., 2001; Perrier-Cornet et al., 2005). Calculated (Table 6) difference in velocities air-liquid were: 59.30 and 267.55 m/s for NZ-1 and NZ-2 respectively, so producing larger collision effects between these two streams of fluids and therefore larger shear when atomizing through NZ-2.

Differences in shear stresses caused by the components of the nozzles, which due to their geometric configuration increase and reduce flow diameters and suffer a sudden decrease in fluid pressure when leaving the nozzles and had (NZ-2) a sudden (90°) change of liquid flow direction (Section 2.4), gave place to a thermodynamically more unstable emulsion as compared to the original one by reducing the wall material layer (as was probed by CLSM images, Section 3.5) and by exposing non polar groups towards the gum-water interphase.

Also, the electrostatic interactions of charged polysaccharides on the surfaces of opposite charge biopolymers such as gum Arabic destabilized the wall material layer (Guzey, 2007; Harnsilawat et al., 2006) and could be influenced by the stress caused by the nozzles by changing the distribution of the polar-non-polar groups ratio of the gum in addition to forming new surfaces. All the above, affected the DS (NZ-2) and PDI (for both nozzles) of the atomized emulsions as described and had an effect on the other stability-related parameters studied (see Sections 3.3-3.5 below).

After 24 hours of atomization, the emulsions passed by the two nozzles presented significant increase of DS ($p \leq 0.05$) with respect to the original emulsion. (16.89% in DS for the emulsion atomized by NZ-2 and a 9.68% for the NZ-1) (Table 5). Significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) were observed in the PDI’s values for the original and the two atomized emulsions. NZ-1 and NZ-2 atomized emulsion, presented an increase of 21.88% and 38.28% respectively with respect to the original emulsion (Fig. 5). Significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) were observed in the PDI’s values for the original and the two atomized emulsions. NZ-1 and NZ-2 atomized emulsion, presented an increase of 21.88% and 38.28% respectively with respect to the original emulsion (Fig. 5).

The shear rate applied during atomization influences the size and distribution of the droplet in the emulsions used for different applications such as spray drying, electro spraying, vibrating-jet- encapsulation, film formation, coating and others (Kanellopoulos et al., 2017; Haffner et al., 2016; Waß et al., 2016). Once more, it is stressed that, the characteristics of these final products should be related to those of the atomized emulsion, according to results obtained.

### 3.3 Turbiscan Lab® stability index (TSI)

Turbiscan Stability indexes obtained, indicated that, the original emulsion had a greater stability after the first 2 hours with respect to atomized ones.

### Table 2. ANOVA for the adjusted variables to response optimization design: DS, PDI and TSI for the oil in water emulsions homogenizer by microfluidizer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>1.00E+07</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>128.46</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-A</td>
<td>2.80E+05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>A-A</td>
<td>0.9007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>A-A</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-B</td>
<td>9.91E+06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>B-B</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>B-B</td>
<td>122.39</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>411.50E9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5238</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>0.0253</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0298</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>1.10E+05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>3.56E+05</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>0.0488</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Fit</td>
<td>1.32E+05</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.9839</td>
<td>Lack of Fit</td>
<td>0.0341</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.5844</td>
<td>Lack of Fit</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.5039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Error</td>
<td>2.24E+05</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pure Error</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pure Error</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor Total</td>
<td>1.04E+07</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cor Total</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cor Total</td>
<td>132.14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-Squared</td>
<td>0.9656</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adj R-Squared</td>
<td>0.9830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adj R-Squared</td>
<td>0.9722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj R-Squared</td>
<td>0.9519</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Obtained decrements in stability of the droplets
due to atomization could be due to rearrangements
of the hydrophobic groups associated to the protein
fraction which, for the atomized emulsions, decreased
emulsifying capacity of gum Arabic (Villalobos-
Castillejos et al., 2017). Electrostatic forces derived
from shear could also be affected during atomization
and may also influence the stability of the emulsions.
Mirhosseini (2008) reported that in emulsions with
orange oil and different amounts of gum Arabic, the
stability was affected by the amount of GA which also
affected electrical conductivity of the dispersion.

The high shear rates applied to the emulsions
when passing through the nozzles, which were of
different extent due do the inherent design of the
two nozzles (Sections 2.4 and 3.2 above) caused
differences in steric and electrostatic alterations to
the system which, in addition to the decrease of
wall material observed in CLSM images (Section 3.5
below), increased the instability of the formed droplets
by creating new surfaces which gives place to the
formation of overall new emulsions whose ripening
and flocculation kinetics caused the increment of TSI
during the 24 h of measurements.

Given that the presence of gum Arabic provided
a better long-term stability, by immobilizing the
emulsion droplets into a network stabilized by
electrostatic repulsions or steric effects between the
droplets (Dickson, 2009), the observed decrements
of the thicknesses of droplets due to differences in
shear during atomization with both nozzles (NZ-2
having more tortuous liquid path and smaller outlet
slit) provoked destabilization with time.

### 3.4 Destabilization mechanism of emulsion
by Ostwald ripening

Ostwald ripening is the process in which larger
droplets grow at the expense of smaller ones which
move through the continuous phase reaching larger
droplets (Kabalnov et al., 1992; Weis et al., 2001;
Chanamai et al., 2002).

### Table 4. Comparison of values predicted by the
design (with a Desirability of 0.965) and the ones
obtained experimental with the corresponding
percentage of error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>% of error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS</td>
<td>591.99±6.42</td>
<td>613.54</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPD</td>
<td>0.128±0.004</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSI</td>
<td>1.16±0.05</td>
<td>1.282</td>
<td>9.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Velocities of air and emulsions at the outlet slits of the nozzles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle</th>
<th>Velocity of the liquid at the outlet of nozzle (m/s)</th>
<th>Velocity of the air at the outlet of nozzle (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NZ-1</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>59.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ-2</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>269.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These findings indicated that the forces that mainly controlled the destabilization of emulsions responded to an Ostwald ripening mechanism. Joye et al. (2014) and Ricaurte et al. (2018), reported that the Ostwald ripening destabilization was more pronounced for emulsions having smaller droplet sizes because these emulsions are, thermodynamically, more unstable due to Brownian motion associated to smaller droplets and which is, consequently, more pronounced than for larger ones. Obtained values of the slope ($r^3$ vs $t$) were similar to those reported by Ricaurte et al. (2018). Variation of droplet radius with time due to diffusion of small molecules towards larger ones (Ostwald ripening) was probed by the good-fit ($R^2 \geq 0.98$) of the $r^3$ vs $t$ straight lines as described in Section 2 (Fig. 7). Slopes ($\omega$) of the straight lines obtained were: $\omega = 1.60 \times 10^{-24}$ m$^3$/s (for original emulsion); $\omega = 1.08 \times 10^{-23}$ m$^3$/s (for emulsion atomized by NZ-1 nozzle) and $\omega = 1.25 \times 10^{-23}$ m$^3$/s (for emulsion atomized by NZ-2 nozzle) indicating that the magnitude of the Ostwald ripening phenomena with time was in the following order: NZ-2>NZ-1>Original emulsion, and agrees with destabilization indicators obtained for DS, PDI, TSI described in Sections 3.2 and 3.3.

Also, the thicknesses of the wall material for original and atomized emulsions were larger for the original emulsion than for NZ-1 and NZ-2 atomized emulsions and these decrements with respect to the original emulsion which were larger for NZ-2 than for NZ-1 as described in Section 3.5 on CLSM images of the emulsions.

![Fig. 7. Ostwald ripening plots: the cube of the droplet radius ($r^3$) as a function of time for the original emulsion; NZ-1 and NZ-2.](www.rmiq.org)
The interfacial tension of an oil in water interface increases with size of droplet which in our case caused larger values of the slope of the straight lines mentioned above for atomized emulsions. The mean droplet volume as indicated by the $r^3$ value for the original emulsion increased up to 1.18 times with respect to its initial value after 60 min of centrifugation, while for the emulsions atomized by the NZ-1 and NZ-2 nozzles, increments in $r^3$ were 1.47 and 1.54 times their initial values after the same time. These results are an indicator of the speed of increase of DS and agreed with those found by the evaluation of the TSI as discussed in Section 3.3.

### 3.5 Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) of emulsions

CLSM images of original (Fig. 8) and atomized emulsions (Figs. 9 and 10) showed that the droplets were properly formed with wall material (green) covering the oil phase (red). However, it was possible to observe a decreased thickness of the wall material (green area in Figs. 9 and 10) (as % of green area respect to the original emulsion) surrounding the oil phase.

Decrement of coverage were: 29.87 and 49.98% for emulsions atomized using NZ-1 and NZ-2 respectively. Such decrements may be the cause of the loss of stability with time for atomized emulsions as discussed in sections 3.1-3.3 above. It has been reported that the microstructure of the emulsion, has an influence on the efficiency of encapsulation since it influences the release and viability of the active ingredient of capsules obtained by spray drying (McClements and Rao 2011; McClements and Li, 2010). Fernandez et al. (2005) when studying two oils (tributyrin and triolein) in oil concentration varying from 1 to 5% (v/v) emulsions stabilized with gum Arabic, the coating of the droplets was properly formed and stated that the formation of a thick layer of wall material helps to achieving such protection. Our results confirmed that the nozzle produced a different emulsion than the original emulsion, not only due to a thinner layer of wall material but also in their charge-related characteristics which produced the overall consequence of destabilizing the emulsions. It is important to mention that the decrement in thickness of the wall material exposes (in the first instance) non-polar groups to the continuous phase which causes rearrangements of polar-non polar groups thus provoking destabilization of droplets and of the entire system and these systems will tend to ripen. This disruption of droplets highlights the need to characterize the final product (capsules, films, coatings, etc.) based mainly on the properties of the actual atomized emulsion.
Table 6. Droplet size (DS) and polydispersity index (PDI) of original and atomized emulsions at time zero and after 24h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>DS (nm) time zero</th>
<th>PDI (nm) time zero</th>
<th>DS (nm) 24 hours</th>
<th>PDI (nm) 24 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original emulsion</td>
<td>591.99±6.42(^a)</td>
<td>0.128±0.004(^a)</td>
<td>614.37±12.05(^a)</td>
<td>0.134±0.004(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ-1</td>
<td>613.00±11.15(^a)</td>
<td>0.156±0.005(^b)</td>
<td>695.77±6.00(^b)</td>
<td>0.245±0.004(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ-2</td>
<td>654.74±12.91(^b)</td>
<td>0.177±0.003(^c)</td>
<td>765.39±10.59(^c)</td>
<td>0.287±0.002(^c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters in superscripts in the same column, indicate significant difference.

Conclusions

Cumulative evidence from the various experiments carried out, as well theoretical and experimental research described in the different sections of this work, indicated that the two atomization devices used under nominal operating conditions, produced the destabilization of the droplets prepared by microfluidization which could be due to the high shear given to the emulsions by such devices as indicated by changes of DS, PDI and TSI (of both atomized emulsions) in respect to the original emulsion. These changes were due to the thinning of the wall material layer produced during passage through the nozzles and the concomitant exposure of new surfaces to the media which included non-polar groups so decreasing the entropy of the system. It was observed that stability parameters changed more pronouncedly for NZ-2 atomized emulsion since this nozzle had the larger differential liquid-air velocity (larger drag) at the outlet and its configuration caused a sudden change (90°) in the direction of the liquid before encountering the air. On the other hand, NZ-1 had a much larger diameter of the liquid conduct as compared to NZ-2 which decreased shear-wall and the liquid enters axially to the outlet orifice (no sudden change in direction). Original and atomized emulsions were destabilized differently by Ostwald ripening and its magnitude was larger for atomized emulsions, probably due to compensation of interfacial-diffusional effects. During the time the emulsions were tested for DS, PDI and TSI, destabilization was mainly due to polar groups exposed to the media. CLSM images confirmed the decrease in thickness of the droplets which strongly influenced the destabilization of emulsions due to the abovementioned charge disruption. Finally, it is recommended to correlate the properties of the final end product (capsules, films, coatings, etc.) not only with those of the original microfluidized emulsions but also with those of the atomized emulsions, by including during the preparation by atomization of films, coatings, capsules, etc., a proper selection of the atomizer and a sampling-characterization on-line scheme.
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